MAKE OR BREAK TIME FOR MAKOS

After a decade of unheeded warnings, Atlantic shortfin mako sharks are now in an unprecedentedly dire state, requiring decisive ICCAT protections this year.

PORTUGAL -South Atlantic shortfin Atlantic shortfin mako catches mako catches (tonnes) (tonnes) 2018 2388t

SAFEGUARD BLUE SHARKS

United States

Nicaragua

2014 Rec. 14-06: CPCs shall

improve reporting, SCRS

assessment by 2016.

Panama

Venezuela

Heavily fished blue sharks remain at risk for overfishing due to the lack of basic catch limits under ICCAT and major fishing nations. The existing landing threshold for the North Atlantic is insufficient for ensuring overages are prevented. South Atlantic blue shark fishing is still essentially unregulated despite SCRS advice to limit catch.

ICCAT Parties should establish hard blue shark catch limits at the levels advised for both the North and South Atlantic before populations become seriously overfished and more severe measures are needed.

RAISE THE PRIORITY

Since the 2008 Ecological Risk Assessment, scientists have warned that shortfin make sharks are exceptionally vulnerable to ICCAT fisheries. While ICCAT has since granted many other shark species prohibited status, makos have been passed over. ICCAT responses to scientific advice have been woefully inadequate, time and time again

Gestation: 15-18 months Reproduction: 4-25 pups

Conservation action to prevent make population collapse is long overdue and urgent. Ban retention for this exceptionally vulnerable species - before it's too late.

HEED THE ADVICE

The alarm bells around shortfin make depletion have never been louder. For the seriously overfished North Atlantic population, the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS) reports:

- Current fishing restrictions are insufficient to halt decline;
- Recovery would likely take ~25 years even if fishing mortality could be cut to zero (53% chance of rebuilding by 2045);
- Limiting catch (including discards) to 300t annually only offers a 60% chance of rebuilding the population over 50 years;
- Banning retention without exception is the most effective
- Additional bycatch mitigation measures are also warranted.

The SCRS warns that South Atlantic makes are likely headed down the same path as those in the North. Banning take from this population is prudent in the face of uncertainty and enforcement challenges. Also, because longfin makos are similar in appearance, range, and vulnerability, mako retention bans should cover both species.

FACE FACTS

Recent reductions in shortfin make mortality by some Parties represent steps in the right direction, but it's simply too late for more half measures. Gear changes and size limits alone are wholly insufficient to reverse decline. Allowances to land dead makes create incentives for risky and/or irresponsible fishing practices. Retention bans shift the incentive to avoidance.

ortfin mako shark

• Life span: ~32 years

Age of maturity (♀): 18-21 years

• Length at 50% maturity (♀): ~275cm

MAXIMISE CHANCES FOR SUCCESS

While shortfin makos are inherently vulnerable, the species has a relatively low rate of post-release mortality (studies show up to 77% survive). Retention bans coupled with proper handling techniques offer the best hope for achieving the dramatic mortality reductions that makes need.

COMPREHEND THE TIMESCALE



STRENGTHEN ICCAT'S FINNING BA

ICCAT's ban on shark finning (the wasteful practice of slicing off a shark's fins and discarding the body at sea) relies on a fin-to-carcass ratio limit that is hard to enforce and exacerbates inadequacies in shark catch information. Requiring that sharks be landed with their fins naturally

ICCAT Parties proposing or supporting fins-attached requirement

ease enforcement,

Federation

- eliminate wiggle-room to fin sharks, and
- facilitate collection of species-specific catch data.

It is high time that ICCAT adopted this increasingly accepted best practice for effective finning ban enforcement.

2001 SCRS Sub-Committee on Bycatch begins

assess by 2007.

occurring, South Atlantic

stock likely fully exploited.

2004 Rec. 04-10: SCRS 2005 SCRS: Reduce F to revisit status in 2005, on North Atlantic stock.

2005 Rec 05-05: CPCs Atlantic stock overfished, shall reduce F on North Atlantic stock.

2007 Rec. 07-06: CPCs shall reduce F on North Atlantic stock

ERA: high vulnerability, low productivity among 11 species.

2008 Both make sharks listed under CMS Appendix II.

retention if catch data is not properly reported (starting in 2013). **2012** SCRS: F should not

confirms high vulnerability, low

productivity among 16 species.

increase. Enhanced ERA

for North Atlantic, mitigate bycatch, limit South Atlantic catch to <2001t.

> **2017** Rec. 17-08 falls far short of SCRS advice, aims for live-release, but allows

2017 SCRS: Ban retention

2019 Both mako species classified as

catch to >2001t.

mako species listed on Endangered on CITES IUCN Red List. Appendix II.

2019 SCRS: Ban retention

for North Atlantic, mitigate

bycatch, limit South Atlantic

2004 SCRS: North assessment process. overfishing may be **2008** SCRS: North Atlantic overfishing suggested, stock depletion of ~50%.

2010 Rec. 10-06: CPCs shall ban

A decade ago, the SCRS recommended conservation action for shark species with the greatest biological vulnerability, and retention bans as effective measures for species with high longline survivorship. Since then, ICCAT has prohibited retention of bigeye threshers, oceanic whitetips, most hammerheads, and silky sharks.



Blue shark North Atlantic

Intrinsically vulnerable, even among sharks

Shortfin mako sharks ranked <u>first</u> among 20 pelagic shark stocks for vulnerability to ICCAT fisheries based on Euclidean distance, and third overall in the 2012 ICCAT Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA).

Unenforceable half-measures are not enough to save sharks!

The Shark League of the Atlantic & Mediterranean Urges ICCAT to:

- Ban make shark retention
- Curb blue shark landings
- End at-sea shark fin removal

ilntroducir medidas deficientes y no aplicables en la pràctica no bastará para salvar a los tiburones!

La Liga de tiburones para el Atlántico y el Mediterráneo insta a ICCAT a:

- Prohibir la retención a bordo de marrajos (Isurus oxyrinchus)
- Reducir los desembarques de tintoreras (Prionace glauca)
- Acabar con el aleteo de tiburones en el mar

Des demi-mesures inapplicables ne suffiront pas pour sauver les requins!

La Shark League for the Atlantic and Mediterranean demande à la CICTA:

- d'interdire la rétention à bord des requins-taupes bleus
- de limiter les débarquements de requins peau bleu
- de mettre un terme à la découpe des nageoires de requins en mer

التدابير المجتزأة غير القابلة للتنفيذ ليست

ن رابطة القرش في الأطلسي والمتوسط تناشد اللجنة الدولية للحفاظ على أسماك التُّن في الأطلسي (ICCAT):

- حظر الاحتفظ بقرش ماكو
- كبح تفريغ القرش الأزرق على اليابسة
- وضع حد لإزالة زعانف القرش في عرض البحر



Partners

These groups, with support from the Shark Conservation Fund, formed the Shark League of the Atlantic and Mediterranean to advance responsible regional shark and ray conservation policies

a project of The Ocean Foundation dedicated to securing sciencebased shark and ray policies.

Shark Trust is a UK charity working through positive change.

Shark Advocates International is Project AWARE is a global movement for ocean protection powered by a community of

Ecology Action Centre promotes to safeguard the future of sharks sustainable. ocean-based livelihoods, and marine conservation in Canada and internationally.

Website: www.sharkleague.org | Email: info@sharkleague.org

Funded by the Shark Conservation Fund.



sharkadvocates.org Sonja Fordham President sonja@sharkadvocates.org



sharktrust.org Director of Conservation ali@sharktrust.org



Associate Director Policy and Campaigns ian.campbell@projectaware.org



ecologyaction.ca

Marine Program, Senior Coordinato sarnold@ecologyaction.ca

2019 Meeting of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT)

A critical opportunity to safeguard vulnerable Atlantic sharks through sound fishing limits and best practices



Figure 2. Vulnerability ranks for 20 stocks of pelagic sharks calculated with three hods: Euclidean distance (v1), multiplicative (v2), and arithmetic mean (v3) A lower rank indicates higher risk. Stocks listed in decreasing risk order according to the sum of the three indices. Red highlight indicates risks scores 1-5; yellow, 6-10; blue, 11-15; and green, 16-20. Productivity values ranked from lowest to highest Species in bold are prohibited. * Some exceptions apply

Scalloped hammerhead North Atlantic*

Scalloped hammerhead South Atlantic*

Blue shark South Atlantic

Pelagic stingray South Atlantic

Pelagic stingray North Atlantic 20 18 20